General Education Committee Meeting Minutes

March 25, 2021

Members Present: Nancy Diller, Carol Van Der Karr, Lauren Delaubell, Daniel Radus, Douglas Armstead, Garrett Otto, Gregory Ketcham, Eunyoung Jung, Mecke Nagel, Ryan Fiddler, Stephen Cunningham

Members Absent : Daniel Reischer

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Topic** | **Comments** | **Action** |
| **Approval of Minutes** |  | **Approved** |
| **Review GE proposals in Curriculog** |  Reviewed PWR 222 course proposal* Added it to GE 8.
* Approved unanimously.
 | **Approved PWR 222 as GE 8 category** |
| **Assessment Update** | * Discussed ways to improve assessment data submission.
	+ Carol working with SAWS on form to collect assessment results; rubrics will be sent out to faculty teaching select courses soon.
	+ Generate a template, or work with Starfish for future
* 100% faculty participation for current syllabus and assessment method submission
* There are some outstanding revisions and a general reminder has been sent to faculties.
	+ GE 1: 4 outstanding revisions (McKillop, Morel, and Mukherjee, Alemzadeh)
	+ GE 4: All set
	+ WI: 7 outstanding revisions (Voltura, Quinn, Alwes, Neville, Lackey, Orr, Carll)
 | **Subcommittees write an email to the departments about the assessment result and suggestions.**  |
| Middle State Crosswalk  | * Carol shared the Middle State Crosswalk to review alignment between MSCHE, SUNY GE and SUNY Cortland GE categories
	+ Purpose: studying and reviwing consistency between MSCHE standards and SUNY Cortland GE categories
	+ SUNY GE is less aligned with MSCHE while SUNY Cortland GE is more aligned (e.g., diversity category).
	+ Ther are some comments on how MSCHE standards have outdated language; how multiple SUNY Cortland categories are related in each MSCHE standard; uniqueness of SUNY GEs above and beyond MSCHE standards; etc.
 |  |
| GEAC proposed GE framework draft | * Discussed a proposed SUNY GE framework
	+ Proposed to add diversity in SUNY GE program
	+ Proposed to require five out of eight categories.
	+ Not much impact on SUNY Cortland program because of our base structure (existing 12 categories required except GE 5 as an option).
	+ Will review new revised SUNY GE SLOs to compare SUNY Cortland GE SLOs in the future
	+ All competency categories have been assessed in SUNY Cortland (e.g., oral competence by presentation committee)
	+ Discussed SUNY Cortland technological competency description is outdated.
* Questions
	+ How are “content” and “competency” categories determined? (e.g., writing as content but oral language as competence)
 | **Submitting questions to Lauren by March, 31st (Wednesday)****Sending a written report to SUNY Senate meeting (April 6th) and Provost.** **Meeting adjourned 9:30 am** |
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